New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 06-22-01-06-0000

Name: Fredonia Central School District

Superintendent: Paul Di Fonzo

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	15	29	31
Kindergarten	120	119	108
First	126	125	120
Second	131	119	115
Third	118	132	113
Fourth	154	126	130
Fifth	153	158	128
Sixth	154	159	170
Ungraded Elementary	11	5	0
Seventh	155	156	164
Eighth	153	158	156
Ninth	164	171	166
Tenth	150	153	159
Eleventh	176	145	149
Twelfth	162	170	148
Ungraded Secondary	10	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1937	1896	1826

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

9	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	36	1.9%	35	1.8%	33	1.8%
Black (Not Hispanic)	26	1.3%	25	1.3%	24	1.3%
Hispanic	62	3.2%	57	3.0%	71	3.9%
White (Not Hispanic)	1813	93.6%	1779	93.8%	1698	93.0%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04
Kindergarten	15	15	16
Common Branch	19	18	17
English Grade 8	19	20	19
Mathematics Grade 8	17	17	17
Science Grade 8	19	20	19
Social Studies Grade 8	18	20	19
English Grade 10	20	18	16
Mathematics Grade 10	19	15	20
Science Grade 10	23	19	21
Social Studies Grade 10	21	18	17

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group		Description
NA	NA	

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	200	2001–02		2002-03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	31	1.6%	42	2.2%	39	2.1%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	231	11.9%	253	13.3%	329	18.0%	

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.7%		95.9%		95.8%
Student Suspensions	30	1.5%	21	1.1%	68	3.6%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	6.1%	7.2%	7.3%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts

Start Counts					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	164				
Total Other Professional Staff	13				
Total Paraprofessionals	51				
Teaching Out of Certification*	5				

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	2001–02			2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	145	87	60%	152	102	67%	130	97	75%
Students with Disabilities	7	0	0%	3	0	0%	7	3	43%
All Students	152	87	57%	155	102	66%	137	100	73%

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	79	44	1	5	6	2
Percent	58%	32%	1%	4%	4%	1%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
7	3	0	7

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	2001–02		2002–03		200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	4		11		7	1.2%
Education	Entered GED Program*	2		3		1	0.2%
Students	Total Noncompleters	6		14		8	1.4%
Students	Dropped Out	8		1		8	14.8%
with	Entered GED Program*	1		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	9		1		8	14.8%
All	Dropped Out	12	1.8%	12	1.9%	15	2.4%
Students	Entered GED Program*	3	0.5%	3	0.5%	1	0.2%
Students	Total Noncompleters	15	2.3%	15	2.3%	16	2.6%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	157
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-8	Number of All Students	0	0	157
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	32%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	19	19
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	1	1
9-14	Number of All Students	0	20	20
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	3%	3%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Drogram	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	17		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	16	94%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	16	94%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	16	94%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	17		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	17	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed	0		19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	40	93%	55	91%	17	100%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	121	93%	177	98%	128	79%	

Students with Disabilities

Toot	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	7	57%	0	0%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	7	71%	2	#	1	#
Science	7	29%	1	#	0	0%
Reading	5	60%	1	#	0	0%
Writing	5	80%	1	#	0	0%
Global Studies	4	#	1	#	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	2	#	0	0%	0	0%

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	1	#	16	69%	16	63%
Science	1	#	6	50%	13	54%
Reading	1	#	6	33%	5	60%
Writing	1	#	0	0%	5	60%
Global Studies	0	0%	8	50%	3	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	7	29%	3	#

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$

	regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compr	ehensive Eng				•
Number Tested	175	153	147	1	12	5
Number Scoring 55–100	164	147	141	#	9	2
Number Scoring 65–100	149	137	135	#	8	1
Number Scoring 85–100	69	76	71	#	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	96%	96%	#	75%	40%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	90%	92%	#	67%	20%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	50%	48%	#	8%	0%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	5	168	158	0	2	7
Number Scoring 55–100	2	159	154	0	#	3
Number Scoring 65–100	1	151	151	0	#	3
Number Scoring 85–100	0	66	76	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	40%	95%	97%	0%	#	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	20%	90%	96%	0%	#	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	39%	48%	0%	#	0%
	Ma	athematics B				
Number Tested	0	0	89	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	81	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	76	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	22	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	91%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	85%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo				
Number Tested	160	163	172	1	6	10
Number Scoring 55–100	150	149	156	#	3	6
Number Scoring 65–100	138	130	142	#	1	2
Number Scoring 85–100	42	70	72	#	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	91%	91%	#	50%	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	80%	83%	#	17%	20%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	43%	42%	#	0%	10%
	U.S. Histo	ry and Gover	rnment			•
Number Tested	176	172	144	2	11	5
Number Scoring 55–100	166	163	141	#	9	3
Number Scoring 65–100	138	149	134	#	8	2
Number Scoring 85–100	57	77	90	#	4	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	95%	98%	#	82%	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	87%	93%	#	73%	40%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	32%	45%	62%	#	36%	0%
	2-70		V= / V		20,0	U / U

 $\overline{(Form - F)}$

		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	ent			
Number Tested	135	129	137	1	2	3
Number Scoring 55–100	134	129	137	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	134	129	137	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	61	58	59	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	100%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	45%	45%	43%	#	#	#
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	136	138	159	0	2	14
Number Scoring 55–100	133	136	151	0	#	12
Number Scoring 65–100	129	131	140	0	#	10
Number Scoring 85–100	77	69	52	0	#	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	99%	95%	0%	#	86%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	95%	88%	0%	#	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	57%	50%	33%	0%	#	14%
		Setting/Cher				
Number Tested	105	105	125	0	2	1
Number Scoring 55–100	102	102	113	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	83	90	82	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	16	24	16	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	97%	90%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	86%	66%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	15%	23%	13%	0%	#	#
	Physica	al Setting/Phy				
Number Tested			42			1
Number Scoring 55–100			38			#
Number Scoring 65–100			29			#
Number Scoring 85–100			3			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			90%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			69%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			7%			#

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre		_		
Number Tested	31	25	25	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	31	25	25	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	31	25	25	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	14	20	21	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	45%	80%	84%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew	•	•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa			7 1 7	
Number Tested	58	62	65	0	2	0
Number Scoring 55–100	58	62	65	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	57	62	65	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	43	48	49	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	100%	100%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	74%	77%	75%	0%	#	0%
Telegrape of Tested Scoring of Too		rehensive La		070		070
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	98	110	20	0	2	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	97	106	20	0	#	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	92	104	20	0	#	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	62	59	18	0	#	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	96%	100%	0%	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	95%	100%	0%	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	63%	54%	90%	0%	#	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04	
	No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	70	93%	54	100%	45	100%
Students with Disabilities	2	#	5	80%	6	50%

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	107	1%	2%	41%	56%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	19	16%	21%	53%	11%
	All Students	126	3%	5%	43%	49%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	135	1%	33%	61%	5%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	15	13%	67%	20%	0%
	All Students	150	2%	36%	57%	5%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Level 3	Level 4						
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary 1	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	134	134	134	10	10	10	144	144	144
Number Scoring 55–64	5	4	0	2	2	0	7	6	0
Number Scoring 65–84	86	54	64	5	3	7	91	57	71
Number Scoring 85–100	42	73	67	1	4	2	43	77	69
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		Students with Disabilities								
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0				
Advanced (32–36)			0			0				
Proficient (37–39)			0			0				
Reading and Writing (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–14)			0			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0				
Advanced (25–32)			0			0				
Proficient (33–35)			0			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	ł)						
Number Tested			6			2				
Beginning (0–18)			0			#				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			#				
Advanced (32–36)			3			#				
Proficient (37–39)			3			#				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)							
Number Tested			6			2				
Beginning (0–14)			1			#				
Intermediate (15–24)			2			#				
Advanced (25–32)			2			#				
Proficient (33–35)			1			#				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u> </u>						
Number Tested			2			1				
Beginning (0–18)			#			#				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			#				
Advanced (32–36)			#			#				
Proficient (37–39)			#			#				
	Read	ing and Writir	ıg (Grade 5–6)							
Number Tested			2			1				
Beginning (0–14)			#			#				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			#				
Advanced (25–32)			#			#				
Proficient (33–35)			#			#				

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		Students with Disabilities				
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	L	
Number Tested			3			1
Beginning (0–18)			#			#
Intermediate (19–31)			#			#
Advanced (32–36)			#			#
Proficient (37–39)			#			#
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8)		
Number Tested			3			1
Beginning (0–14)			#			#
Intermediate (15–24)			#			#
Advanced (25–32)			#			#
Proficient (33–35)			#			#
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		
Number Tested			6			2
Beginning (0–18)			0			#
Intermediate (19–31)			0			#
Advanced (32–36)			2			#
Proficient (37–39)			4			#
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	2)		
Number Tested			6			2
Beginning (0–14)			1			#
Intermediate (15–24)			1			#
Advanced (25–32)			4			#
Proficient (33–35)			0			#

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)